

From West to East

Weekly Investment View
 18th February, 2018

We are happy to have added to equities a week ago

Last week the bell-weather S&P500 index closed up an exceptionally strong 4.30% for the week, leaving it 2.19% ahead for the year-to-date, with investors apparently brushing aside earlier concerns regarding higher US inflation and interest rate increases – which had just been the excuse for the worst week in two years. The S&P now stands 4.90% below its January closing all-time high (i.e. out of so-called ‘correction’ territory of 10% down), the intra-day low point having been 12% lower). While it was the case that the US CPI for January came in above expectations at the headline and ‘core’ levels, market participants perhaps determined that in the short-term the US equity sell-off had simply gone too far – as had weakness in the US dollar – and that it was time to flatten short positions, and/or for investors to buy. Lower US retail sales for January also partially offset the CPI numbers in terms of sentiment, plus it was of course taken positively that – at least so far – the Mueller investigation had indicted thirteen Russians, rather than members of Trump’s 2016 presidential campaign team. Global equities as measured by the MSCI World index rose by 4.33% over the week, taking their cue from the US. Readers will remember that immediately prior to this rally the FAB Asset Allocation Committee decisively added to its model overweight positions in global equities, including taking emerging market equities up from neutral. **The VIX volatility index closed at 19.46 (down from the 29.06 of the previous week), as we and others had hoped it**

would (i.e. back within a more normal 15-20 range). It is this technical factor, perhaps more than almost any other that is likely to tempt genuine medium-to-long term investors back into the markets.

“The US 10-year yield above 2.90% could be too far, too fast”

European equities as represented by the STOXX Europe 600 index closed 3.26% higher, possibly held down slightly by a stronger euro/dollar currency pair, while Japanese equities as measured by the TOPIX index closed just 0.31% firmer, much more definitely being held back by a strengthening yen impacting its important export sector. In bond markets, worries had already existed about the possibility of firmer US inflation (after the 2.9% year-on-year wage growth contained in the non-farm payrolls for January), with bond prices already notably weaker ahead of the CPI report. From a high of just above 2.9440% intra-day, the yield on the US 10-year Treasury closed at 2.8749%, up just over two basis points over the week. In FX markets, the dollar closed 1.48% weaker on its index (DXY), at 89.100 (after an intra-day low of close to 88.24). The dollar lost a further 2.38% vs. the yen, to ¥106.21, despite the announcement that Mr Kuroda would serve another five-year term as Governor of the Bank of Japan. While there has been talk that BoJ monetary policy may become less dovish, the yen/dollar pair currently appears to be driven by technical considerations. Oil markets bounced from what was probably an

oversold position the previous week (with Brent at \$64.84), yet with some increase in concern regarding non-OPEC production, especially from the US shale producers. **Gold took comfort from dollar weakness over the week as a whole, closing 2.30% firmer, at \$1,346.96, although it is still in an overall bearish trend in euros.**

“The Federal Reserve trusts the PCE inflation data, not last week’s”

US consumer price data for January released by the Labor Department came in at 0.5% last month, vs. expectations for an increase of 0.3%. Excluding food and energy prices (‘core’), the increase was 0.3%, compared to expectations of 0.2%. Price pressures were said to have been quite broad, although with apparel particularly mentioned (up 1.7% month-on-month); the headline number was 2.1% higher year-on-year, vs. expectations of 1.9%, while the ‘core’ was unchanged year-on-year, at 1.8%, compared to expectations of 1.7%. However, the Federal Reserve uses the Commerce Department’s PCE (price consumption expenditure) data series, which has different weights (with less emphasis on housing costs) within a broader basket, and which is said to adjust more quickly to changing spending patterns based on relative prices. The PCE data was (in December) running 0.3% point year-on-year below the Labor Department data, and the Fed do presumably have good reasons for preferring it. In other

From West to East

Weekly Investment View
 18th February, 2018

data, the University of Michigan Consumer Sentiment Index came in at a healthy 99.9 for February, up from 95.7 in January, although retail sales fell in January by 0.3% (vs. expectations for an increase of 0.2%), recording their biggest drop in nearly a year, as households bought fewer motor vehicles and spent less on building materials. Also, December data was revised to show sales unchanged, rather than increasing 0.4% as previously reported. Retail sales in January were 3.6% from a year ago. US retail sales are an especially volatile dataset, as evidenced by this month's prior revisions. **The latest reading from the Federal Reserve Bank of New York's 'Nowcast' for annualized growth for the current quarter stands at 3.11%, which seems a fair estimate to us.**

"The Central London prime residential real estate market has probably bottomed"

Turning to UK real estate, we have just analyzed the latest quarterly report from Knight Frank, our leading UK real estate counterparty. They began by noting that UK Treasury forecasts now suggest consumer spending growth of 0.9% in the current year, a reduction from 2017's 1.5% estimate, and indicative of slackening growth. However, looking back to the second half of last year, Knight Frank were rather more optimistic than their UK real estate forecasting peers, yet were happy to be away from the pack. **Heading into 2018 it appears they were correct in their overall view, and that – for instance in highly visible Central London prime residential – the market is recovering.** Naturally our partners have covered themselves by reference to the possible risks that still exist in the UK (politically, mainly), and probably wisely make no reference to the value of sterling. As strategists - and with an

underlying interest in UK real estate - we would observe that Knight Frank's attention to the level of supply and the nature of it across the sub-classes - rather than getting caught up in pure market sentiment - has enabled them to successfully read their markets. Accordingly we would under-line their comment that as 2018 unfolds the consensus view on the outlook for UK real estate remains more defensive than they believe is warranted. They add that from overseas investors to domestic funds and listed vehicles, they don't expect any shortage of capital to target direct property purchases in the UK. It is a fairly densely-populated country, and quality land remains in short supply. We would add that those who still want to buy that so-called 'des res' in London (or elsewhere in the UK) may not have missed the boat. The near-term outlook for sterling may continue to be volatile, certainly, due to either Brexit, or the political tribulations of Mrs. May, the UK Prime Minister. **The chances are that those buying prime real estate in London (the '8th Emirate') now, or in the near-term (maybe with the help of sterling downside), will be very glad they did so in a few years' time, once Brexit is history.**

"The correction we suggested would arrive did so"

INVESTMENT SUMMARY: The Trump Administration early last week proposed taking an additional decade to balance the US budget, coincident with publishing a spending plan that - as we know - assumes that higher realized economic growth will ultimately help to pay for the tax cuts recently announced. The \$4.4 trillion proposal for fiscal 2019 includes \$200 billion of infrastructure spending over the next decade, and we note that on average the kind of spending being put

forward usually produces good 'multiplier' effects. Market concerns regarding how all this (or a portion of it) can be financed and the resulting effect on total US government debt has been impacting the US dollar. We are more optimistic than many on US, and global, growth. Meanwhile, the swaps market suggests Fed funds at 2.45% in February, 2020, vs. an effective rate of 1.42% today (i.e. the market discounts four hikes by then). Last November (at 1.92%) we said the market was behind the curve. It probably still is, but now by only one hike (maximum two), none of which should lastingly damage equities – at least not quality equities with low debt. **The FAB Asset Allocation Committee is overweight in global equities (almost across the board on a regional basis), overall neutral in global bonds, and quite heavily underweight in cash and alternatives (hedge funds); the Committee meets later this week and although happy with most of its expectations will attempt to fine-tune several of them.**

For any inquiries related to this article, please contact
Clint.Dove@bankfab.com

From West to East

Weekly Investment View
18th February, 2018

Disclaimer:

This report has been prepared and issued by Products & Services - Wealth & Private Banking ("P&S-WPB") of First Abu Dhabi Bank PJSC ("FAB") outlining particular services provided by P&S-WPB and does not constitute or form part of any offer or invitation to sell, or any solicitation of any offer to purchase or subscribe for, any shares in FAB or otherwise or a recommendation for a particular person to enter into any transaction or to adopt any strategy nor shall it or any part of it form the basis of or be relied on in connection with any contract therefore. This report is incomplete without reference to, and should be viewed solely in conjunction with the associated oral briefing provided by P&S-WPB. The report is proprietary to P&S-WPB and may not be disclosed to any third party or used for any other purpose without the prior written consent of P&S-WPB. The information in this report reflects prevailing conditions and our views as of this date, which are accordingly subject to change. In preparing this report, we have relied upon and assumed, without independent verification, the accuracy and completeness of all the information available from public sources or which was otherwise reviewed by us. In addition, our analysis are not and do not purport to be appraisals of the assets, stock or business of the recipient. Even when this presentation contains a kind of appraisal, it should be considered preliminary, suitable only for the purpose described herein and not be disclosed or otherwise used without the prior written consent of P&S-WPB. FAB clients may already hold positions in the assets subject to this report and may accordingly benefit from the buying or selling of such assets as referred to in this report. This document does not purport to set out any advice, recommendation or representation on the suitability of any investment, transaction or product (as referred to in this document or otherwise), for potential purchasers. Potential purchasers should determine for themselves the relevance of the information contained in this document and the decision to purchase any investment contained herein should be based on such investigation and analysis as they themselves deem necessary. Before entering into any transaction potential purchasers should ensure that they fully understand the potential risks and rewards of that transaction (including, without limitation, all financial, legal, regulatory, tax and accounting consequences of entering into the transaction and an understanding as to how the transaction will perform under changing conditions) and that they independently determine that the transaction is appropriate for them given their objectives, experience, financial and operational resources and other relevant circumstances. Potential purchasers should consider consulting with such advisers and experts as they deem necessary to assist them in making these determinations and should not rely on FAB for such purposes. FAB is acting solely in the capacity of a potential arm's-length contractual counterparty and not as a financial adviser or fiduciary in any transaction unless we have otherwise expressly agreed so to act in writing. FAB does not provide any accounting, tax, regulatory or legal advice. FAB is licensed by the Central Bank of the UAE.

London: NBAD London Branch is Authorized by the Prudential Regulation Authority. Subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. Details about the extent of our regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority are available from NBAD London branch on request. Registered in England & Wales: Company No: FC009142: VAT No: GB245 3301 91.

Paris: NBAD Paris Branch is licensed by the French Prudential Control Authority as a credit institution. NBAD Paris is registered in France under the company number: RCS Paris B 314 939 547.

Switzerland: This publication is for your information only and is not intended as an offer, or a solicitation of an offer, to buy or sell any investment or other specific product. Certain services and products are subject to legal restrictions and cannot be offered worldwide on an unrestricted basis and/or may not be eligible for sale to all investors. All information and opinions expressed in this document were obtained from sources believed to be reliable and in good faith, but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to its accuracy or completeness. All information and opinions as well as any prices indicated are currently as of the date of this report, and are subject to change without notice. The analysis contained herein is based on numerous assumptions. Different assumptions could result in materially different results. At any time the First Abu Dhabi Bank PJSC and/or NBAD Private Bank (Suisse) SA may have a long or short position, or deal as principal or agent, in relevant securities or provide advisory or other services to the issuer of relevant securities or to a company connected with an issuer. Some investments may not be readily realizable since the market in the securities is illiquid and therefore valuing the investment and identifying the risk to which you are exposed may be difficult to quantify. Futures and options trading is considered risky. Past performance of an investment is no guarantee for its future performance. Some investments may be subject to sudden and large falls in value and on realization you may receive back less than you invested or may be required to pay more. Changes in foreign exchange rates may have an adverse effect on the price, value or income of an investment. First Abu Dhabi Bank PJSC expressly prohibits the distribution and transfer of this document to third parties for any reason. First Abu Dhabi Bank PJSC and/or NBAD Private Bank (Suisse) SA will not be liable for any claims or lawsuits from any third parties arising from the use or distribution of this document. This report is for distribution only under such circumstances as may be permitted by applicable law. The "Directives on the Independence of Financial Research", issued by the Board of Directors of the Swiss Bankers Association (SBA) do not apply.

Singapore: National Bank of Abu Dhabi P.J.S.C., Singapore Branch is regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore and holds a Wholesale Bank license.